Os desafios da cadeia de produção de leite: da reprodução à produção

José Eduardo P. Santos

Department of Animal Sciences University of Florida



Pictures by Bonnie Mohr http://www.bonniemohr.com/





Recent Evolution of Reproduction in Holsteins and Jerseys in the USA



https://queries.uscdcb.com/publish/dhi/current/reproall.html

Year of birth

Timeline Management of Dairy Cows For Successful Transition

Provide Proper Comfort and Heat Abatement

2. Close up

1.

Dry off 230 days of gestation Proper body condition Control of mastitis Routine hoof trimming Vaccination program Proper diet to avoid ov under consumption of nutrients	Move based on days pre <u>255 days of gestation</u> Proper grouping Vaccination program Feed diets to minimize n disorders in early lactati	5. netabolic on. 4. Early Postpartum Monitor health for early diagnosis of diseases and treatment. Feed diets that do not limit intake. Control ketosis.	High group Feed diets that maximize milk production and recovery of body condition		
- 45 d	-21 d Calv 3. Part	0 to 28 d ving urition	> 28 DIM		
Training of personnel Minimize intervention Reduce calving related disorders					
	Day Relativ	ve to Calving			

Days in Close Up Pen and Morbidity



Vieira-Neto et al. (2021) J. Dairy Sci. 104:5964–5978

Holstein Cows at Peak Production



Average Holstein cow peaks at 45 kg/day

- Maintenance energy required: 15 Mcal/d of ME
- Energy for milk synthesis 55 Mcal of ME/d
- Total energy needed = 70 Mcal of ME/d
- Therefore, consuming at 4.6 times maintenance



Selz-Pralle Aftershock peaked at 123 kg/day

- Maintenance energy required: 16 Mcal/d of ME
- Energy for milk synthesis 134 Mcal of ME/d
- Total energy needed = 150 Mcal of ME/d
- Therefore, consuming at 9.3 times maintenance

Risk factors for resumption of estrous cycles by 65 days postpartum and pregnancy at 1st AI in lactating dairy cows

Variable	Cyclic, % (n/n)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
BCS change from calving to 65 D	M		
Lost 1 unit or more	58.7 (279/475)	Referent	
Lost < 1 unit	74.6 (2,507/3,361)	1.96 (1.52, 2.52)	< 0.001
No change	80.9 (2,071/2,560)	2.39 (1.74, 3.28)	< 0.001
Milk yield in the first 90 DIM			
Q1, 32.1 kg/d	72.7 (1,011/1,390)	Referent	
Q2, 39.1 kg/d	77.6 (1,204/1,552)	1.34 (1.13, 1.60)	< 0.01
Q3, 43.6 kg/d	77.6 (1,350/1,739)	1.36 (1.15, 1.62)	< 0.001
Q4, 50.0 kg/d	75.3 (1,292/1,715)	1.21 (1.02, 1.43)	0.04
Variable	Pregnant, % (n/n)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
BCS change from calving to 65 D	M		
Lost 1 unit or more	28.9 (132/472)	Referent	
Lost < 1 unit	37.3 (1204/3230)	1.42 (1.13, 1.79)	< 0.01
No change	41.6 (1008/2422)	1.69 (1.32, 2.17)	< 0.001
Milk yield in the first 90 DIM			
Q1, 32.1 kg/d	37.2 (496/1,334)	Referent	
Q2, 39.1 kg/d	38.9 (576/1,481)	1.06 (0.91, 1.24)	0.42
Q3, 43.6 kg/d	39.3 (652/1,661)	1.09 (0.93, 1.26)	0.26
Q4, 50.0 kg/d	37.6 (620/1,648)	1.03 (0.88, 1.21)	0.65

Santos et al. (2009) Anim. Reprod. Sci. 110: 207-221

Cells in the Hypothalamus Require Glucose



Drawing by Marcel Amstalden and Gary L. Williams, Texas A&M University

Lopera et al. (2018) J. Dairy Sci. 101:7907–7929

Plasma LH Pulsatility in Sheep Infused with 2-Deoxy-D-Glucose or Saline in the 4th Ventricle



This substitution inhibits glycolysis and ATP synthesis

Hours





If Energy Balance is a Major Drive of Reproductive Success in Dairy Cows, then the Focus Should be on Intake and not Milk Yield

Santos et al. (2010) Soc. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl. 67: 387-403

Energy for Maintenance, Mcal/d

Take Home Message

- ✓ Avoid excessive body condition loss with the onset of lactation
 - ✓ Ideally, cows should not lose more than 0.5 units of body condition from the week before calving to first AI

Important that cows and heifers do not calve overconditioned

ECC – Área Pélvica Vista de Lado

3.00 ou menos

3.25 ou mais

ECC – Área Pélvica Vista de Lado

ECC – Área Pélvica Vista de Trás

Ílio angular ECC <u><</u> 2.75

Ílio arredondado ECC = 3.00

ECC – Área Pélvica Vista de Lado

ECC – Área Pélvica Vista de Trás

Ligamentos Sacral e Caudal Visíveis ECC = 3.25

Ligamentos Sacral e Caudal Pouco Visíveis ECC = 3.50

Sacral pouco visível e o caudal não visível ECC = 3.75

Se ambos não visíveis ECC = 4.00

Escore de Condição Corporal

Muito gorda

Muito magra

Ideal 3.00 a 3.50

Morbidity is a Problem of Early Lactation Cows

Week postpartum

Ribeiro et al. (2016) J. Dairy Sci. 99: 2201-2220

Por Que a Incidência de Doenças é Alta em Vacas Leiteiras?

Vacas no Período de Transição Têm que ser Alojadas em Lotes Subpopulados

Filmes

Estresse Calório Durante o Período Seco

Estresse Calórico e Hipertermia Durante o Período Seco Resulta em Inúmeros Efeitos Deletérios na Vaca e na Bezerras

Tao et al., 2011; Tao and Dahl, 2013; Monteiro et al., 2016; Laporta et al., 2017

Fabris et al. (2019) J. Dairy Sci. 102:5647-5656

Distocia

Lóquia Normal e Descarga Uterina em Caso de Metrite

Credit to Dr. Segundo Casaro

Disease Reduces Nutrient Balance

✓ Control/Fed

Fed ad libitum and not challenged

✓ Control/Fasted

Fasted for 72 h (-14 to +58 hours relative to challenge) and not challenged

✓ Challenge/Fed

• Fed *ad libitum* and underwent intra-tracheal challenge with *M. haemolytica*

✓ Challenge/Fasted

 Fasted for 72 h (-14 to +58 hours relative to challenge) and underwent intratracheal challenge with *M. haemolytica*

Two Conditions that Induce Systemic Inflammatory Responses

Amino Acid Hepatic Flux in Steers Without (Control) or with (Challenge) an Intratracheal Challenge with *M. haemolytica*

Disease Influences Development to Morula

Effect of treatment on endometrial polymorphonuclear cells, incidence of endometritis, rectal temperature, and conceptus development in lactating Holstein cows receiving artificial insemination

	Treat	ment ¹		
Item	CON	INF	SEM	P-value
Polymorphonuclear cells, ² %	8.2	19.8	1.8	< 0.01
Subclinical endometritis, ³ %	20.9	81.9	6.7	< 0.01
Rectal temperature, °C	38.5	38.5	0.1	0.65
Pregnant d 16, ⁴ %	53.9	57.3	13.6	0.85
Conceptus length, ⁵ cm	14.7	8.9	1.6	0.02
Interferon-τ in uterine flush, μg/mL	269.7 57.4		109.3	0.05

¹ Holstein cows at 26 ± 3 days postpartum were blocked by parity and genomic breeding value for cow conception rate and, within block, assigned randomly to remain as controls (CON; n = 23) or to receive an intrauterine infusion of 5.05×10^8 colony-forming units (CFU) *Escherichia coli* and 3.65×10^8 CFU *Trueperella pyogenes* during a luteal phase to induce endometrial inflammation (INF; n = 34). Concepti recovered on d 16 of pregnancy were 8 CON and 11 INF.

² Polymorphonuclear cells in endometrial cytology collected on d 2 and 7 after treatment.

³ Based on > 10% of polymorphonuclear cells in endometrial cytology.

⁴ Pregnancy per AI on d 16, based on detection of interferon-tau in the uterine flush fluid.

⁵Length of the intact conceptuses recovered.

Husnain et al. (2022) Biol. Reprod. (submitted)

Impact of uterine diseases on reproduction in cows receiving only embryo transfer (ET)

	Dise			
Item	No uterine disease	Uterine disease	P-value	
Cows, n	269	464		
21-d service rate	61.9 (496/801)	54.9 (1065/1938)	0.007	
Pregnancy per ET	46.4 (229/494)	36.2 (386/1065)	0.02	
21-d pregnancy rate	28.6 (229/801)	19.9 (386/1938)	< 0.001	

Median days to pregnancy and 95% CI

No UTD = 87 (81 to 102) UTD = 119 (111 to 128) Adjust HR = 0.65 (0.55 to 0.77)

Edelhoff et al. (2020) J. Dairy Sci. 103:11970-11987

Take Home Message

✓ Stimulate DM intake

- ✓ Intake influences nutrient balance that is critical for resumption of ovarian cyclicity
- Cyclic cows have increased estrous expression, pregnancy per AI, and improved maintenance of pregnancy

✓ Minimize disease

- Disease causes inflammation and tissue damage, which alters function
- ✓ Alters partition of nutrients to favor control of infection and tissue repair in place of tissue accretion
- ✓ The priority shifts from production/growth to survival
- ✓ Creates long-term negative effects on reproduction

Diet Formulation to Improve Reproduction

Focus on 2 important concepts

✓ Formulate diets that reduce the risk of diseases

✓ Supplement diets with nutrients shown to benefit reproduction in cows

Mechanisms of Acidogenic Diets to Prevent Hypocalcemia

Vieira-Neto et al. (2021)

Effect of DCAD on Risk of Retained Placenta or Metritis

Santos et al. (2019) J. Dairy Sci. 102:2134-2154

Typical Lactating Cow Diet

24 kg of dry matter intake (53 lb/d)

Each rectangle represents 1 or 0.5 kg of DM of the diet

Production of 41 kg of milk with 3.70% fat and 3.20% protein (95 lbs of ECM)

Low quality forage → inclusion is limited

Forage		Forage		Forage		Forage	
Forage		Forage		Forage		Forage	
Forage		Forage		Corn	Corn	Corn	Corn
Corn	Byprod uct						
Byprod uct							
Prot	MinVit						

Typical Lactating Cow Diet

24 kg of dry matter intake (53 lb/d)

Each rectangle represents 1 or 0.5 kg of DM of the diet

Production of 41 kg of milk with 3.70% fat and 3.20% protein (95 lbs of ECM)

High-quality forage \rightarrow feed 50 to 60% of the TMR as forage

Forage		Forage Forage			Forage		
Forage		Forage		Forage		Forage	
Forage		Forage		Forage		Forage	
Corn							
Byprod uct							
Prot	MinVit						

Corn Silage Quality in Transition Cow Diets

BMR corn silage vs. conventional corn silage from 3 wk pre- to 3 wk postpartum

Stone et al. (2012) J. Dairy Sci. 95 :6665-6676

Meta-Analysis of Lipid Supplementation During the Transition Period

- \checkmark 17 experiments and 26 comparisons with 1,385 cows
- ✓ 7 different fat sources
- ✓ Effects of lipid supplementation
 - ✓ 27% increase in risk of pregnancy per AI (e.g. 32 vs. 40%)
 - ✓ Days open tended to be reduced
 - ✓ Milk yield tended to increase
 - ✓ Concentration of milk fat unchanged and milk protein tended to decrease
 - ✓ Body weight unchanged

Diets for early lactation cows should contain 1 to 1.5% supplemental fat to result in 4 to 5% total fatty acids (DM basis)

Thank you Jepsantos@ufl.edu

Effect of DCAD on Yields of Milk and FCM According to Parity

Santos et al. (2019) J. Dairy Sci. 102:2134-2154

Bovine Conceptus Changes its Gene and Protein Expression to Allow Maintenance of Pregnancy

Downregulation of genes that alert the maternal immune system

Tolerance to conceptus alloantigens

Ribeiro et al. (2016) Biol. Reprod. (2016) 94(4):97, 1–18

Supplement Moderate Amounts of Fat to Postpartum Diets

56 cows, 14/treatment

CON = control, no fat supplementation

Fatty acids supplemented at 1.5% of diet DM with different ratios of C16:0 to C18:1

Diets fed for the first 21 DIM

de Souza et al. (2021) J. Dairy Sci. 104:2896-2909

Energy-Corrected Milk Yield – Effect of Choline

Reference	SMD (95% CI)	Weight (D+L)	
Hartwell et al. 2000 Hartwell et al. 2000 Hartwell et al. 2000 Piepenbrinck et al. 2003 Piepenbrinck et al. 2003 Piepenbrinck et al. 2003 Oelrichs 2003 Oelrichs 2003 Oelrichs 2003 Oelrichs 2003 Oelrichs 2003 Oelrichs 2003 Oelrichs 2004 Janovick et al. 2006 Xu et al. 2006 Chung 2007 Chung 2007 Elek et al. 2010 Ardalan et al. 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \ (-0.95, 1.15) \\ -0.08 \ (-1.13, 0.97) \\ 0.39 \ (-0.60, 1.38) \\ -0.61 \ (-1.66, 0.43) \\ 1.28 \ (0.31, 2.25) \\ -0.06 \ (-0.90, 0.78) \\ 0.72 \ (-0.18, 1.63) \\ 0.89 \ (0.08, 1.70) \\ 0.30 \ (-0.56, 1.16) \\ 0.36 \ (-0.53, 1.24) \\ 0.31 \ (-0.52, 1.14) \\ 0.39 \ (-0.33, 1.11) \\ 0.22 \ (-0.39, 0.82) \\ 0.64 \ (-0.44, 1.72) \\ 0.54 \ (-0.40, 1.49) \\ 0.18 \ (-0.75, 1.10) \\ 0.05 \ (-0.88, 0.97) \\ 0.26 \ (-0.15, 0.68) \\ -0.08 \ (-0.63, 0.78) \\ 2.96 \ (1.94, 3.98) \\ 1.13 \ (0.18, 2.08) \\ 0.82 \ (-0.09, 1.74) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (D+L) \\ 1.76 \\ 1.77 \\ 1.92 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.98 \\ 2.44 \\ 2.19 \\ 2.57 \\ 2.36 \\ 2.27 \\ 2.48 \\ 2.97 \\ 3.63 \\ 1.69 \\ 2.07 \\ 2.13 \\ 5.09 \\ 3.12 \\ 3.06 \\ 1.84 \\ 2.04 \\ 2.16 \end{array}$	
Zom et al. 2011 Lima et al. 2012 Leiva et al. 2015 Amrutkar et al. 2015 Sun et al. 2016 Zhou et al. 2016 Zhou et al. 2016 Zhou et al. 2016 Zenobi et al. 2018a Bollatti et al. 2018	$\begin{array}{c} 1.37 \ (0.39, 2.30) \\ 0.27 \ (-0.37, 0.91) \\ 0.24 \ (0.03, 0.44) \\ -0.06 \ (-0.88, 0.76) \\ 0.92 \ (-0.05, 1.90) \\ 0.98 \ (0.13, 1.83) \\ 0.66 \ (-0.16, 1.48) \\ -0.14 \ (-0.73, 0.45) \\ -0.20 \ (-0.79, 0.39) \\ 0.51 \ (-0.07, 1.10) \\ 0.23 \ (-0.36, 0.81) \\ 0.30 \ (-0.26, 0.85) \\ 0.41 \ (-0 \ 16, 0.97) \end{array}$	1.94 3.43 6.87 2.53 1.96 2.40 2.51 3.73 3.72 3.79 3.79 3.79 3.96 3.90	2.2 kg/d or 4.8 lb/d
Donath et al. 2018 D+L Overall (I-squared = 41.6%, p = 0.005) Knapp-Hartung Overall NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis -3.98 0 Decreases ECM Standardized mean difference	0.39 (0.23, 0.57) 0.39 (0.23, 0.55) 0.38 (0.21, 0.56)	100.00	

WMD = 0 z = 5.63 P = 0.0001

Arshad et al. (2020) J. Dairy Sci. 103:282–300

Summary of Diet Manipulations

Prepartum

- ✓ Feed prepartum diets to supply 17 Mcal of NE/d (~ 1.45 Mcal/kg or 0.65 Mcal/b)
- ✓ Supplement rumen-protected choline pre- and early postpartum
 - ✓ At least 13 g of choline ion
- ✓ Formulate prepartum diets with a DCAD of ~ -100 mEq/kg for parous cows
 - ✓ Plan for 3 weeks in the close-up pen (move at 255 d of gestation)
- ✓ Formulate prepartum diets for parous and nulliparous cows separately
 - ✓ Nulliparous need more MP prepartum (~ 1,100 g/d) which is achieved with diets with 14 to 15% CP
 - ✓ Parous cows require less MP (~ 800 to 900 g/d), which can be achieved with 12 to 13% CP

Postpartum

- ✓ Prioritize high-quality forages during the transition period
 - ✓ Better, healthier, and often cheaper
- ✓ Watch the protein content of early lactation diets
 - \checkmark 17 to 18% CP (12-12.5% MP), 2.5% of MP as methionine and 7.1% of MP as lysine
- ✓ Supplement moderate amounts of FA to improve fertility (1 to 1.5% diet DM in early lactation)
 - ✓ Effects differ with source of FA fed
 - \checkmark Source of FA rich in omega-6 and omega-3 seem the most bioactive